R.7. 213-9

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

G.7. 13 of 1887. 1903-04.

(G.7.)

Later references.

File No. 244 of 19

Serial Nos. 1-16.

P 80E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-16

M ~~Part~~ B for June, 05

SUBJECT.

Inspection of Taluk Treasuries in the State.

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

G.R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl. Finance)

NOTES.

File No. 213 of 92-98

FILE HEADING.

Review on the working of the Treasuries in the State

From

The Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

No. 7503/127 Dated 27th June 1904 Received 30th June 1904

Diary No. H. 2317	Enclos. 3	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a review on the working of the Treasuries during 1902-03 with two statements shewing a comparison of the results between the above period and that of the previous year.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sent with other papers Sub. to the Secy. 15/8/04 Fair Draft submitted to the Dewan Saheb for approval 30/8/04 BK 31.8.1904

1260/2 To the Comptroller & the H.H. ~~[illegible]~~ Govt. Do H 17308 D 721-9-04.

Passed in the above.

TES—First sheet.

G.R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Finance)

NOTES.

File No. R2 213-92-3

FILE HEADING.

Inspection of Salt & Tax.

From

The Diwan Hassan

No. 124 Dated 26-8-04 Received 29-8-04

Diary No. 836	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. -	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Points out that there has been a mistake in including (under group A) Kaniyamb Chamangapattu & Arakalgud Taluk Tax as not at all inspected during the year 1902.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Comptroller sends his letter No. 142621-0, dt. the 29th January 1904 submitting for the information of Govt. a statement showing the number of times the Taluk Tax was last inspected by the Dy & Asst. Commr.

NOTES—First sheet.

Serial No.

during the year 1902-3. In the Statement it was shown that the Taluk Treasuries at Beeji Pade and Belur of Belur and Arakalgud Taluks in the Hassan Dt. were not at all inspected during the year. The Collector, in his O. No. M3128-36 R Ds 213-92. D/17-5-04, was called upon to explain this omission. The Collector reports that there has been a mistake in including the above Taluk Treasuries in the Statement as not at all inspected during the year 1902-3 since they were all twice inspected during the year as will be seen from the Statement appended to the Collector's letter under reference, of Treasury inspections submitted to the Inspector with his No. 255 D/the 13th August 1903.

A docket to the Inspector calling for his explanation is submitted for approval or other orders.

Draft revised

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 213 of 1904

Serial No.

The Inspector was asked to explain the discrepancy by 1497 D/10.9.04.

The Inspector explains (No 1903/274 D/ 24.9.04) that the dates of inspections are recorded in 2 Registers & that as the clerk in charge at the time was absent the work was done by another, the entries made in a second Register were omitted to be copied in the statement submitted to Govt. & now submits a supplemental statement which includes the Treasury omitted in the original list. Sending the Inspector states that no report has been received in this office of the inspection of the Chemical Analyser Talak Treasury said to have been made on 14.4.03.

Kindly reply from other

Note—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 213 of 1899

Serial No.

particulars be accepted as already ordered in the Lower Court file? Submitted for orders

10/11/04

As regards the issue of final orders about the inspection of Taluk Treasuries, we may wait for the explanations called for from all the Dy Cours. In the case on hand the Comptr has sent a supplementary statement & this called may be recorded. The Dy Court may be asked to explain why the report on the inspection of the Chennarayapatna Taluk Treasury stated to have been made on 14.4.03 was not sent to the Comptr.

Draft order Sub. to Secy put up. 14/11/04

10/11/04

Draft passed 15.11.04

15/11/04

To the Dy Commr. Hassan, No. H 3089-90 & 213-92 dt 19.11.04

Requested the Dy Commr to explain why the report on the inspection of the Chennarayapatna Taluk

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 213 of 1902-3

Serial No.

Treasury stated to have been made on the 14th April 1903 was not sent to the Comptroller.

From the Dy Comr Hassan No 3540 D/23.11.04.

Reports that the Treasury Inspection report referred to was submitted to the Comptroller with his No 1576 D/24-4-03.

Submitted for orders.

1/12/04 copy of the Dy Comr's letter may be forwarded to the Comptroller for information & report.

2/12/04 2/12/04 2/12/04 Sub to Secy

Sub-Sub 2/12/04 8/12

8/12/04

Papers to 6.12.1904

8/12/04

8 To the Comptr & Dy Comr Hassan D/ No: H 3542-3 of 9.12.04

Records with a request that the Comptroller will see if the report referred to is not received in his office.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Sl. Training)

NOTES.

File No. 21342

FILE HEADING.

Inspection of Taluk Treasuries in the State during the year 1902-3.

om

The Br. Accountant Kalar Dt.

No. 1175—106 Dated 19.8.04 Received 22-8-04

ary No. 762 | Enclos. | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Furnishes particulars regarding the inspection of Taluk Treasuries & verification of Cash balances during the year 1902-3.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In Govt. order No. H 3128-36 D/ 17.5-04 it was observed that the standing orders regarding the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries in the State by the Br. and Asst. Accountant

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—13-5-04

Serial No.

have not been strictly adhered to and ~~calls for the~~ explanation was called for from ~~of~~ the Officers in the matter.

Accordingly the Officers of the Kolar District report that during the year 1902-3 a programme was drawn up by which the Special Agrd. Officer was required to inspect all the Taluk Treasuries in the District in the months of Sept. & Oct., the 2nd inspection being done by the Janabandy Officer, that Dr. H. Dadappa inspected all the Taluk Treasuries except Chickballapur, Sidlaghatta & Bagepalli which came not be inspected owing to his ill-health, that the 2nd inspection was made by the Janabandy Officer & that he has impressed on the Agrd. Officer the necessity of verifying cash-balances as often as convenient during their tours of inspection

Salaries for orders.

9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 213 of 1892-93

Serial No.

Explanation from the other Districts may be awaited. Put up 30-8-04

9. From the Sb. of the Tumkur St., No. 1450, the 26.8.04.

States that no arrangement seems to have been made in his St. for the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries twice a year in detail and that he has arranged for the first detailed inspection of this year to take place in Sept. & the second later on during the Jamabandi that needful orders are issued for a more frequent inspection of the Cash balance.

10. From the Sb. of the Mysore St., No. 3290, the 29.10.04

Submits the explanation of the Mysore St. Treasury Officer that, for want of relief of the St. Treasury work, he was unable to inspect the Taluk Treasuries in 1902-03; and forwards a copy of his office Circular of 17th Sept. 04 prescribing the programme for the inspection of treasuries during 1904-5 - first inspection by the Jamabandi officers in Dec. & the second by the

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

Treasury Officer during the 2nd half-year, the cash in the Sub-Treasuries being inspected as often as possible by the Asstt. Comrs during their visits to the Taluks under their charge.

11. From the S.C. of the Kadur St, No: 333 of the 24.12.04.
States that no programme had been made in 1902-3 regarding the inspection of Taluk Treasuries & that one has since been laid down for the current year.

12. From the S.C. of the Shimog. St, No: 323 of the 15/22.12.04.
States that a programme for 1904-5 has been arranged for the inspecting all Taluk treasuries twice during the current year, the 1st by the Officer in charge of the St. Treasury by the end of Sept 04, & the 2nd by the Jamabandi Officers by the end of June 1905.

13. From the S.C. of the Shimoga St, No: 548/374 of the 12.1.05.
States that it was arranged to have all Taluk Treasuries inspected by the St. Treasury Officer once in July & August last, but that he did the work of one Taluk & unfortunately prevented from inspecting the other treasuries; that he & the Asstt. Comr doing Jamabandi will inspect the sub-treasuries in detail when doing that work & that another inspection will be made by the Treasury Officer towards the close of the official year, & that the Asstt. Comrs have been also appraised of the necessity of ~~keeping~~ verifying cash balances frequently.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.7 File No. 213 of 1892-3.

Serial No. 14

From the Sh of the Bangalore St, No: 7228 the 6.3.05.

Forwards copy of explanation given by Mr Ahmed Ali & states that all the other officers who were there in the St have since been transferred elsewhere.

As regards the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries in the Hassan St, please see Ills: 3 to 8 of B.O. dt 1904 - R.7 213 of 1892-3, herewith put up.

Submitted for orders.

K.S
19/5/05.

Sd/
A proceeding will be prepared in future when numerical orders are passed by Govt, higher authority.

Please put up the latest orders of Government relating to the inspection of Taluk Treasuries ~~and Compilation Circular~~ and the Compilation Circular referred to by the Assistant Commissioner Mr Ahmed Ali in his Report to the Govt.

22-5-05

Submitted with the required refs.
K.S 25/5/05.

The G.O. put up is a review on the Inspection of the Kolar District Treasury. I wanted the G.O. laying down rules for the inspection of Taluk Treasuries. Please put up the two Government orders quoted in the Compilation Circular of the 7th February 1903. 20th July 1904

26-5-05.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2/3 of ~~190~~ 92-93

Serial No.

Reference are put up. Minute No 213/92-93 bearing on the subject also put up. Submitted for orders

31/5/05

Read the letter of the Deputy Comr. in abstract and say that Government note with dissatisfaction that the Manr. J.D. are not quite alive to the necessity and importance of carrying out the ~~rules~~ instructions laid down in G.O. No. 8547-55 / R.F. 43-92 dated the 11 December 1892 for the periodical inspection of Talukh Treasuries by their very letter, and the explanation furnished for the omission far from being satisfactory or the majority seem merely evidence the fact that apparently no steps were taken to give effect to these instructions. These should be strictly attended to in future

31-5-05

Subd. with a draft
1-6-05

Draft noted below

5-6-05

Passed
6-6-1905

6-6-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 213 of 1902-03

15

Serial No.	
	To the Comptroller & Dy Comrs of Dists. No: H 8354-62 & 9.6.05 Passes orders as above on the Communications from the Dy Comrs regarding inspection of the Taluk Treasuries in the State during the year 1902-03

TREASURY ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 27. June 1904

From

Henry Eagles, Esq.,

Offg: COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE

To

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.1302-629 dated 23rd September 1903 submitting a Review on the working of the Treasuries during 1901-02, I have the honor to submit a similar Review for the year 1902-03, together with two statements. Statement A shows the working of the treasuries during the year 1902-03, and Statement B shows a comparison of the results between this period and that of the previous year.

The Cash accounts and the Lists of Payments were received earlier than in the previous year from the Kolar, Chitaldrug and the Shimoga Districts, but the other districts have shown great retrogression in this respect, especially the Mysore District.

I regret to notice again the delay in the return to this office of the Audit Objection statements with replies, the greater defaulter on this account being Bangalore.

Under the head omissions and other irregularities Kolar District showed slight improvement while in the other Districts notably the Shemoga, Chitaldrug, Mysore & Hassan the number of such omissions increased to a considerable extent over those of last year which could have been avoided by attention to Codal

Treasury Accounts DEPARTMENT.

1904

From H. Eagles Esquire.
THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To B. K. Venkataramaiah Esq
THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Land & Revenue Dept

Dated 27
Despatched 30 June
Received

No. 7503 E3
Tab No. 1227

SUBJECT MATTER

Submitting a review on the working of Treasuries during 1902 - 1903. 1901 - 1902 together with 2 statements showing a comparison of the results between this period and that of the previous year

Noted. Review of Treasuries to be prepared for transmission.
G. 1/7/04

rules and care in the preparation and writing up of accounts on the part of the Treasury officials.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Jno Eagles

Offg:Comptroller.

Jasho

II

Diary No: 762

1904-05 (2) 41

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: Agrl: Departto

Dated 19th August 1904

Despatched 20th

Received

General No. 1175
No. 106

Enclosure No.

Furnishing particulars regarding the inspection of Taluk Treasuries and Verification of cash balances during the year 1902-03.

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Champion Reefs. 19th August 1904

From,

K. O. Puttanna chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To,

B. K. Venkataravadiengar Esqre
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to para 3. of Government Order No. F. 3128-36/213-92-3 dated 15th May 1904 requesting explanation as to what programme was settled during the year 1902-03, why all the Taluk Treasuries were not inspected in detail twice and why Cash balances were not verified, offences, I have the honor to state that during the year in question a programme was drawn up in accordance with Government Order No. 8582-90/R.F. 212-92 dated 18th

1st June 1900, by which the Treasury Assistant Commissioner was required to inspect all the Taluk Treasuries in the District in the months of September and October - the 2nd inspection to be done by the Jamabandi Officers during the Jamabandi of the Taluk entrusted to them.

2. The above arrangement was made to avoid the inconvenience of the Treasury Assistant Commissioner inspecting the Taluk Treasuries immediately after the inspection of the same by the Jamabandi Officers.

3. In compliance with the above programme the Treasury Assistant Commissioner Mr. . .

Mr. H. Dasappa — inspected all the Taluk Treasuries with the exception of Chickaballapur, Sidlaghatta and Bagepalli Taluks - the inspection of which could not be done on account of his ill health as reported in his letter No. 41 — dated 14th July 1903. The 2nd inspection of all the Taluk Treasuries was made by the respective Jamabandi Officers. It was for this reason that these three Taluk Treasuries were inspected only once during that year.

4. It has to be stated that in the Chintamani and Bagepalli Treasuries, the cash balance was

also

also examined by Mr Carr on the 11th and 15th September 1902 respectively.

5. I have now impressed upon the Assistant Commissioners the necessity of verifying Cash balances as often as convenient during their tours of inspection.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obd Servant

H M Mitchell
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Diary No: 836

SL (3)

1904-05.

From Rev. Wetherall Esquire
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Sec & Revs Depts. Bangalore

Dated 26th August 1904

Despatched 27th Do

Received

General No. 124

No. Secy

Enclosure No. One.

with reference to Govt. No. 7C3128-36 / R7213-92-3 dt 17/8/04

Pointing out that there has been a mistake in including (under Group A) Manjarabad, Channapatna & Arkalgud Taluks Reserves as not at all inspected during the year 1902-03

the State were inspected by
Deputy Commissioners and Assistant Commissioners, during
the year 1902-03, I have the honor
to point out that there has been
a mistake in including (under
group A), Manjarabad, Chem=
=narayapatna and Arkalgud
Taluk treasuries as not at all
inspected during the official
year mentioned, since they
were all twice inspected during
1902-03, as may be seen from
the enclosed copy of the State
=ment of treasury Inspections
submitted to the Comptroller
with this Office Docket No.
255 dated 13th August 1903.

2.

2. The Nos. and Dates with which each Report was dispatched to the Comptroller from this Office are noted in the last column of the Statement.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D M Wetherall
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Statement showing the dates and the number of time
were inspected by the Deputy Commissioner and the Assi

3a

Name of Taluk & Treasury	Date of inspection of Treasury	Name of inspecting Officer	
Hassan	22. 5. 03	B. Ramaswamiah Esqr B.A, B.L	Ir
	30. 6. 03	D. Devaraj Urs Esqr	Do
Manjarabad	7. 3. 03	P. R. W. Wetherall Esqr	Su
	25. 6. 03	Do	
Belur	24. 4. 03	Do	
	16. 6. 03	Do	
Arsikere	10. 7. 03	K. Chandy Esqr B.A	G
	16. 5. 03	Do	
	28. 6. 03	Do	
Kheusoypatna	14-4-03	Do	
	15. 6. 03	Do	
Hole Narsipur	8. 4. 03	Do	
	2. 6. 03	Do	
Arkalgud	26. 5. 03	D. Devaraja Urs Esqr	D
	24. 6. 03	Do	

13th August 1903.

a Copy
D H Welch
Dy Comm
Hassan

s on which the Taluk Treasuries in the Hassan District
tant Commissioners during the year 1902-1903.

...ne	Whether detail inspection or inspection of cash balance only.	No: and date of submission of report to the Comptroller.
...Commission	Detail	No: 1934 dated 16. 6. 03.
...mmissioner	Do	
...Officer	Do	No: 1575 dated 24. 4. 03.
Do	Do	No: 201 dated 2. 8. 03
Do	Do	No: 1880 dated 11/12 - 6. 03
Do	Do	No: 80. dated 10/11 - 7 - 03
...Asst Commr	Only cash	
Do	Detail	No: 1879 dated 11/12 - 6 - 03
Do	Do	No: 188 Do 29/30 - 7 - 03
Do	Do	No: 1576 Do 24 - 4 - 03
Do	Do	No: 2012 Do 30 - 6 - 03
Do	Do	No. 1545 Do 20 - 4 - 03
Do	Do	No: 33. Do 4. 7. 03
...mmissioner	Do	No. 34 Do 4. 7. 0:
—	Do	No: 32 Do — Do —

"Signed"
Devaraja Urs
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

...eral

Diary No: 863 44.

1904-05.

(9)

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 26th
Despatched 30th } August 1904.
Received

General No. 145
No.

Enclosure No.

Noting that no arrangement seems to have been made in this District for the inspection of Taluk Treasuries twice a year in detail; it is arranged for the 1st inspection of this year to take place in September and the second later on during the Jamabandi.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 26th August 1904.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.,
Deputy-Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

B. K. Venkatarawadiengar Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. H 3128-36 / RF 213 of 92-93 of the 17th May last, I have the honor to state that no arrangement seems to have been made in this District for the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries twice a year in detail. I have arranged for the 1st detailed inspection of this year to take place in the month of September and the second later on during the Jamabandi. Needful orders are issued also for a more frequent inspection of the cash balance.

I have the honor to be,
Your most obedient servant,
K Doraswami Iyer

G.R.S. 26]

File No. RD 213/7
Serial No. 1892/3

Diary No. of letter replied to 836

No. H. 1497
dt. 13-9-92

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Education)
Dated, the 10th Septr 1904

To
The Comptroller to the
Govt of H H the Maharaja
of Mysore

Date of issue 10-9-04

Signed by M. N. Krishnaiah

In forwarding
herewith copy of letter No
124 D/ the 26th Aug 1904
with its enclosure received
from the Government of the
Hassan D. pointing out
inter-preference as to Govt order
No. H 3128-36 RD 213/7/92-3 D/ 17.5.92
that there has been a
mistake in the order given in letter No 4262 A/02 D/ 29-1-03 from the Comptr. to Gov.
under Govt to appoint
Thimmaiyapatna and Arakal

over. - gu

SUBJECT.

I think I can safely say that at all inspected dates in the year 1902-3 (letters 2621 of 29.1.03 from the Comptr & the Govt) has been the most frequent that the Comptroller notes good enough to explain away the mistakes.

Noted.

30/8/04

for C.C.S.

30/8

List of enclosures,

Letter of the Statement

Copied by

Examined by

Record & Check in Sufficiency

20121

846

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 2137/23

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ——

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

Dated, the 21 Septr 1904

Date of issue 21—9—04

Signed by M. N. Krishna

READ.—

Letter No. 7503/1227 of the 27th June 1904 from the Comptroller to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore submitting a review on the working of the Accounts in the State during the year 1902-3.

(The letter together with the Statements and review to be printed in extenso)

ORDER THEREON—No.

Order thereon No. FR 1730-8 & Fin 213-92

over

SUBJECT

Statement B above shows that except Kolar, Chitaldroog and Shimoga, the other Districts have not shown any improvement in the transmission of lists of pay requisits and cash accounts as compared with the previous year.

2. As regards the submission of schedules instances of delay in the District Treasuries of Kolar, Tumkur, Kadur and Chitaldroog are more than in the previous year, as also in the transmission of Vouchers from the Kolar and the Bangalore Districts and in the return of the audit objection statements and slips from the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

Bangalore, Tumkur and Chitaldroog districts.

3. Cases of bills paid without payees' signatures are more in the Chitaldroog dt. than in the previous yr. Instances of payment without receipt-stamps in the Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitaldroog, Kigoor and Hassan districts and of payments in excess of sanctioned scales and of delay of more than six months in the payment of claims in the Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga, Kadur and Hassan districts are also on the increase.

4. All the district Treasuries except Kolar have shown bad work as regards other omissions or mistakes.

5. The review does not reflect credit on the working of the Treasuries, and the Treasury officers are apparently not

quite alive to the importance of punctuality, correctness and completeness in the submission of accounts vouchers &c. to the Comptroller. The officers are requested to see that better attention is paid to the working of the Treasuries in future.

The officers in charge of the several treasuries during the year are shown in the following statement.

Point

31.8.1904

See

31/8/04

31/8/04

The officers of Treasuries to the Comptroller

2.IX.04

Name of Treasury	Officer in charge of the Treasury
State Hyderabad	Mr B. Ghosalingar
Bangalore	Mr Ahmed Ali & K. Kuchuthayer
Kolar	Mr Dattappa & B. Ghanappa
Tumkur	Mr C. Seshachalayyangar, T. Paramanandayya & S. Sesagiri Rao
Shimoga	Mr T. Chandappa, A. Chandappa, S. Balasundaram & C. Thangavelu Rao
Kadur	Mr Chandappa Gowda, P. L. Dasappa & N. Gopalappa
Chikkaballapur	Mr Chagalahalli Ramaiah, Gowda Bahadur & Subramanyayya & B. Venkatappa
Mysore	Mr S. L. Padmanabhayyangar & C. Kuchappa
Hassan	Mr K. Chandy & B. Ramaswamy

Seen after adding statement.

4.9.1904

5/9/04

Read—

Letter No. 7503—1227, dated the 27th June 1904, from the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

In continuation of my letter No. 1802—629, dated the 23rd September 1903, submitting a review on the working of the Treasuries during 1901-02, I have the honor to submit a similar review for the year 1902-03, together with two statements. Statement A shows the working of the Treasuries during the year 1902-03, and Statement B shows a comparison of the results between this period and that of the previous year.

The cash accounts and the lists of payments were received earlier than in the previous year from the Kolar, Chitaldrug and the Shimoga Districts, but the other districts have shown great retrogression in this respect, especially the Mysore District.

I regret to notice again the delay in the return to this office of the audit objection statements with replies, the greatest defaulter on this count being Bangalore.

Under the head omissions and other irregularities, Kolar District showed slight improvement while in the other districts notably the Shimoga, Chitaldrug, Mysore and Hassan, the number of such omissions increased to a considerable extent over those of last year which could have been avoided by attention to codal rules and care, in the preparation and writing up of accounts on the part of the Treasury officials.

Review on the working of the Treasuries in the Mysore Province for the year 1902-03.

1. The State Huzur Treasury :—

Officer in charge—
Mr. B. Gopaliengar.

This Treasury has no Sub-Treasuries and continues to head the list of Treasuries as regards efficiency. The accounts and subsidiary statements are received in time and in complete order.

2. Bangalore District Treasury :—

Mr. Ahmed Ali.
,, K. Krishna Iyer.

This Treasury which is responsible for the transactions of nine Sub-Treasuries, stands next to Mysore for heaviness in transactions. In the submission of cash accounts and lists of payments, there has not been much delay and stands second only to Chitaldrug. But delays in the submission of schedules, vouchers and objection statements have been very frequent and in this respect stands the last in the list. There has not been any improvement upon the previous year in the number of requisitions for corrections in the accounts after their despatch from the Treasury, inasmuch as it is the same as in the previous year.

3. Kolar District Treasury :—

Mr. H. Dasappa.
,, B. Guru Rao.

This is responsible for the transactions of ten Sub-Treasuries. There has not been much delay in the submission of the lists of payments and the cash account, being 4 days on an average; last year's remarks with regard to the delay in the submission of vouchers has to be repeated this year also, though with regard to the submission of returns and audit objection statements, there has been considerable improvement. The number of requisitions for corrections proposed by this Treasury average 4 a month.

4. Tumkur District Treasury :—

Mr. C. Seshadriengar.
,, T. Paramasiva Iyer.
,, S. Seshagiri Rao.

This has 8 Sub-Treasuries under it. The average delay in the submission of the 1st and 2nd lists of payments has been 7 or 8 days respectively, being worse than that of the previous year. In all other respects too, it is very backward. The average number of requisitions for corrections from this Treasury is 3, showing an improvement upon last year in this respect.

5. Shimoga District Treasury :—

Mr. T. Chokkanna.
,, A. Ananda Rao.
,, S. Balasundram Iyer.
,, C. Narayana Rao.

This also has 8 Sub-Treasuries like the Tumkur District Treasury, but its transactions are comparatively heavy. There has been a marked improvement in the working of this Treasury in all respects. The number of requisitions for correction averages three.

6. Kadur District Treasury :—

Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi.
,, P. G. D'Souza.
,, N. Subba Rao.

This has 5 Sub-Treasuries attached to it. Except the increased delay in the submission of the 1st list of payments which is 6 days this year, it has maintained its standard of efficiency in all other respect. The requisitions for corrections proposed average only one.

7. Chitaldrug District Treasury :—

Mr. Chengiah Chetty.
,, Srikantesa Gowda.
,, Basavaradhya.
,, A. Subramanya Iyer.
,, B. Venkoba Rao.

This is responsible for the transactions of 8 Sub-Treasuries. Except in the return to this office of the credit objection statement, 52 of which have been delayed beyond the prescribed date, there has been an improvement. The number of requisitions for correction is the same as that of the previous year, being 2 on an average.

8. Mysore District Treasury :—

This is the heaviest in the Province and has 13 Sub-Treasuries under it.

There has not been any improvement in the working of this Treasury, rather the reverse in all respects. The average number of requisitions for corrections is 7, being 3 more than that of the previous year.

Mr. S. G. Padmanabha Iyengar.
" C. Krishna Rao.

9. Hassan District Treasury:—

This is responsible for the transactions of seven Sub-Treasuries. There has been a slight improvement in the working of this Treasury under all heads, except in the submission of the 1st list of payments which is delayed by 10 days, being 3 days more than that of the previous year. The number of requisitions for correction averages 2.

Mr. K. Chandy.
" B. Ramaswamiah.

A—Statement showing the working of the Treasuries in the Mysore State during the year 1902-03.

Name of Treasury	Lists of payments and cash account	Number of returns delayed: Number of days delayed	Schedules	Vouchers	Audit objection statements and slips	Bills wrogly paid: Without payees' signature	Without stamped receipt or defaced stamps	In excess of scale and payment in arrears more than six months	Number of vouchers not stamped "Paid" or "Paid by transfer"	Number of other omissions or mistakes	Requisitions for corrections proposed average per month
State Huzur Treasury	First lists of payments	No delay whatever.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Second lists of payments										
	Cash account										
Bangalore	First lists of payments	4	58	12	120	1	2	65	...	157	5
	Second lists of payments	2				...					
	Cash account	1									
Kolar	First lists of payments	3	40	44	2	...	...	...	...	17	3
	Second lists of payments	6									
	Cash account	4									
Tumkur	First lists of payments	7	36	37	45	1	3	4	5	154	3
	Second lists of payments	8									
	Cash account	2									
Shimoga	First lists of payments	2	8	9	21	1	...	18	...	132	3
	Second lists of payments	4									
	Cash account	3									
Kadur	First lists of payments	6	11	7	24	...	...	9	...	89	1
	Second lists of payments	1									
	Cash account	1									
Chitaldrug	First lists of payments	1	32	9	52	7	3	40	...	123	2
	Second lists of payments	2									
	Cash account	1									
Mysore	First lists of payments	11	77	59	20	...	30	53	27	379	7
	Second lists of payments	8									
	Cash account	6									
Hassan	First lists of payments	10	50	26	11	...	1	3	...	67	2
	Second lists of payments	4									
	Cash account	4									

B—Statement showing the working of the Treasuries in the Mysore State during the year 1902-03, as compared with that of 1901-02.

Name of Treasury.	Lists of payments and cash accounts	Average number of days delayed beyond the prescribed dates.		Number of returns that should have accompanied the 2nd list of payments and cash accounts, but received subsequently						Bills wrongly paid						Number of vouchers not stamped with the words "Paid" or "Paid by transfer"		Number of other omissions or mistakes.		Requisition for corrections proposed average per month.	
				Schedules		Vouchers		Audit objection statements and slips		Without payee's signature		Without stamped receipt or defaced stamps		In excess of scale and payment in arrears more than six months							
		1901—02	1902—03	1901—03	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03	1901—02	1902—03
State Huzur Treasury.	First lists of payments	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Second lists of payments	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Cash account ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bangalore ...	First lists of payments	...	3	4	58	53	19	12	24	120	1	1	1	2	5	65	...	...	155	157	5	5
	Second lists of payments	...	2	2																		
	Cash account ..	...	...	1																		
Kolar	First lists of payments	...	5	3	33	40	38	44	25	2	1	...	...	...	3	...	1	...	33	17	4	8
	Second lists of payments	..	6	6																		
	Cash account ...	...	6	4																		
Tumkur	First lists of payments	...	6	7	26	36	50	37	8	45	1	1	2	3	3	4	2	5	144	154	4	3
	Second lists of payments	...	7	8																		
	Cash account ..	...	3	2																		
Shimoga... ...	First lists of payments	..	7	2	26	8	50	9	24	21	5	1	12	...	3	18	...	...	30	132	3	3
	Second lists of payments	...	5	4																		
	Cash account ...	...	3	3																		
Kadur	First lists of payments	...	4	6	...	11	4	7	24	24	6	...	...	...	1	9	..	...	82	89	2	1
	Second lists of payments	...	...	1																		
	Cash account ..	...	...	1																		
Chitaldrug ...	First lists of payments	...	3	1	31	32	15	9	25	52	2	7	1	3	44	40	..	...	61	123	2	2
	Second lists of payments	...	1	2																		
	Cash account ..	..	1	1																		
Mysore	First lists of payments	...	6	11	90	77	39	59	24	20	6	...	9	30	85	53	33	27	207	379	4	7
	Second lists of payments	...	5	8																		
	Cash account ...	...	5	6																		
Hassan	First lists of payments	...	7	10	54	50	32	26	20	11	...	...	...	1	2	3	...	...	37	67	4	2
	Second lists of payments	...	6	4																		
	Cash account ..	...	6	4																		

Financial, dated 21st September 1904.

No. Fl. 1730-8—Gl. Fin. 213-92, dated Bangalore, 21st September 1904.

Order thereon.—Statement B above shows that except Kolar, Chitaldrug and Shimoga, the other districts have not shown any improvement in the transmission of lists of payments and cash accounts as compared with the previous year.

2. As regards the submission of schedules, instances of delay in the District Treasuries of Kolar, Tumkur, Kadur and Chitaldrug are more than in the previous year, as also in the transmission of vouchers from the Kolar and the Mysore Districts and in the return of the audit objection statements and slips from the Bangalore, Tumkur and Chitaldrug Districts.

3. Cases of bills paid without payees' signatures are more in the Chitaldrug District than in the previous year. Instances of payment without receipt stamps in the Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitaldrug, Mysore and Hassan Districts and of payments in excess of sanctioned scales and of delay of more than six months in the payment of claims in the Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga, Kadur and Hassan Districts are also on the increase.

4. All the District Treasuries except Kolar have shown bad work as regards other omissions or mistakes.

5. The review does not reflect credit on the working of the Treasuries, and the Treasury Officers are apparently not quite alive to the importance of punctuality, correctness and completeness in the submission of accounts, vouchers, etc., to the Comptroller. The Deputy Commissioners are requested to see that better attention is paid to the working of the Treasuries in future.

6. The Officers in charge of the several Treasuries during the year, are shown in the following statement :—

Name of Treasury.	Officer in charge of the Treasury.
State Huzur Treasury ...	Mr. B. Gopaliengar.
Bangalore	Messrs. Ahmed Ali and K. Krishna Iyer.
Kolar	„ Dasappa and B. Guru Rao.
Tumkur	„ C. Seshadri Iyengar, T. Paramasiva Iyer and S. Seshagiri Rao.
Shimoga	„ T. Chokkanna, A. Ananda Rao, S. Balasundram Iyer and C. Narayan Rao.
Kadur	„ Ananda Rao, Sirsi, P. G. D'Souza and N. Subba Rao.
Chitaldrug	„ Chengiah Chetty, Srikantesa Gowda, Basavaradhya, Subramanya Iyer and B. Venkoba Rao.
Mysore	„ S. G. Padmanabha Iyengar and C. Krishna Rao.
Hassan	„ K. Chandy and B. Ramaswamiah.

B. K. VENKATAVARADAIYENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd—G. S.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st September 1904.

No. $\frac{\text{Fl. 1730-8}}{\text{Gl. Fin. 213-92}}$

Passing orders on the Comptroller's review on the working of the Treasuries in the State for the year 1902-03.

Diary No: 1219

(5)

II

Date 26/9

No 1903 / 2/14

DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 22 & 24 September 1904

From

Henry Vaughan Esqr:

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To B. K. Venkatavaradaa Iyengar Esqr:

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Dept.

SIR,

In returning the Statements received with your No: Dl 1297 G.I.Dn. 213-92 dated 10th Instant in regard to inspection of Dabir Dewaswams, I have the honor to State that the notes of Inspections are recorded in two Registers and that as the Clerk in charge at the time was absent and the work was done by another the entries made in a Second Register were omitted to be copied in the Statement submitted to Government. This the first, is an oversight which will be guarded against in future.

(2) I now submit a Supplemental Statement which includes the Dewaswams omitted in the original list sent up. No report was received in the office of the inspection of the Hemavathy Katna Dewasthanam said to have been made on 12.4.03.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
H Vaughan
Comptroller.

A

_____ DEPARTMENT.

190

From

THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
General & Revenue Dept.

Dated

Despatched 24 September 1902

Received

No. 1903 G. 1pt
No. 274

SUBJECT MATTER

Forwarding a Supplemental Statement Showing the inspection of Treasuries in the Province during 1902-3 by the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners.

Supplemental Statement showing the inspection of Treasuries in the Province made by the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners during the year 1902-03.

Name of District	Name of Treasury	Date of Inspection	By whom inspected	Number and date of Report	Whether in detail or not.
Bangalore	Bangalore Taluk	8th November 1902	Mr. R. N. Narasinga Row	R 531 dated 23/31 · 3 · 03	In detail.
Chitaldroog	Hosadurga "	9th November 1902	Mr. N. Narayana Row	522 of 11 · 11 · 1902	Cash Balance Examined.
	— do —	29th December 1902	Mr. A. L. Sreekantaiya Gowda	957 of 28 · 1 · 1903	In detail
	— do —	21st April 1903	Mr. A. Ramanujaiyengar	1310 of 29 - 4 - 1903	— do —
Hassan	Manjarabad "	4th March 1903	Mr. P. R. Wetherall	1575 of 23 · 4 - 1903	— do —
	— do —	25th June 1903	— do —	2014 of 3 - 8 - 1903	— do —
	At Kalgundi	26th May 1903	Mr. D. Devadaiyalu	32 of 4 · 7 · 1903	— do —
	— do —	22nd June 1903	— do —	32 of 4 · 7 · 1903	— do —
	Shemaraya-patna "	15th June 1903	Mr. K. Chandy	2012 of 29/30 · 6 · 1903	— do —
	— — —				

W Eagles

Comptroller.

II
Direct
2/11

Diary No: 1688/1904

1904

From
M Rantaraj Esq B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
B L Venkatarama Aiyangar Esq
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Rev Departments

Dated 29 October 1904.
Despatched
Received
General No. 329
No.

Enclosure No Direct 1:2

Furnishing explanation re the omission to inspect the Taluk Treasuries of Somwarpet & Krishnarajpet during the year 1902-03.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

No 329
E2

Dated 29 October 1904

From M. Kantha Raja Urs. Esqr. B.A.
Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District.

To B. K. Venkata Varada Iyengar. Esqr.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir

Referring to para 3 of Government Proceedings No 7C 3128-36 / R7 213-92-3 dated 7th May 1904 calling for explanation in re the omission to examine the Taluk treasuries at Seringapatam and Krishnarajpett twice during the year 1902-03, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of docket no 218 dated the 15th October 1904 from the District Treasury Officer, Mysore submitting the explanation called for. The rules require

that

that one of the two annual inspections shou
be technical and as such should be ma
by the District Treasury Officer.

2. A Copy of this office docket circular
no 156 dated the 17th September 1904, fixi
ing the programme for the inspection of
treasuries during the current year is also
herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissi
of Mysor

Dr

From C. Krishna Rao. Esq.
Treasury Officer.
Mysore district.

To M. Kanta Raja Urs. Esq. B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district.

Dated 14th } October 1904
Despatched 15th }

Received

General No. 218
No.

Enclosure No.

His no: 878 dated 17th/20 September 1904 / and subsequent reminder no: 1132 dated 11th October 1904

Referring

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The District Treasury Officer
Mysore and
all the Assistant Commissioners
charge of Taluks.

Dated 17th September 1904.
19
Circular No 156.

Referring to the Comptroller's circular no 2
dated 20th February 1904 regarding the p
odical inspection of the Taluk Treasuries
higher officers, has the honor to prescribe
following programme for the guidance of
Assistant Commissioners during the year,

05 –

2. The 1st detailed inspection should be don
before the 31st December 1904 and the offi
who settles the Jamabundi of the Taluk

ll be good enough to do this.

2nd detailed inspection which should be
chnical should be done by the District
easury Officer during the 2nd half year
st in the hurried way in which it was
ne last year.

The assistant Commissioners are further
quested to inspect the Cash-balance in the
- Treasuries as often as possible during
ir visits to the Taluks under their charge.

(Signed) M. Kantharaja Urs.
Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore.

"Copy"
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

G.R.S. 28

~~A~~ B 4800

519

File No.

Serial No 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

Dated the 19th November 1904

Date of issue do

Signed by Mr M. H. Krishna

READ.— G.O. No. R. 3128-36 / R.F. 213-92-3 of the 17th May 1904 reviewing the Statement submitted by the Comptroller, showing the number of times the Taluk Treasuries in the State were inspected by the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners during the year 1902-03, observing that the standing orders on the subject have not been strictly attended to, and calling for the Explanation of the

ORDER THEREON.— No.

over

SUBJECT

Dy. Commissioner on the matter.

(2) Letter No. 124 of the 26th August 1904 from the Dy. Commissioner of the Hissar District pointing out that there was a mistake in including the Kanjarabad, Sunrampatna and Dokalpur Talukas in the list of those Treasuries not at all inspected during the year above referred to, since they were all three inspected in that year as may be seen from the Statement of the Treasury inspections submitted by the Comptroller with his docket No. 255 of the 13th August 1903.

Correspondence ending with

Letter No. 1903/27 of the 24th September 1904 from the Comptroller the text of it the matter of explaining that the notes of inspection are recorded in two registers and that as the work in charge was absent at the time of the preparation of the Statement and the work

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

was done by another, the entries made in a second register were omitted to be copied in the statement submitted to Govt; and submitting a supplemental statement which includes the Treasuries omitted in the original list ~~sent up~~ and stating that no report ~~was received in his office~~, of the inspection of the Chenrayapatna Treasury said to have been made on the 14th April 1903 - was received in this office.

Proc: Jl 3089-90
RJ 213-92
19 November 1904

Recorded with a request that the Dy. Commissioner will explain why the report on the inspection of the Chenrayapatna Taluk Treasury stated to have been made on the 14th April 1903 was

Sent to the Comptroller.

15.11.1904

Secretary

14/11/05

14/11/05

The Comptroller to the post.

of H. H. the Nabob of Jafferabad

a Dy. Commissioner-Kathiawar etc.

T/ 25/11 (7) D-20 210

190/-05.

From V. R. U. Pretlewell Esqr.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To B. Venkatavaradiengar Esqr.
Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Secy & Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Dated 23rd November 04
Despatched 24th
Received

General No. 340
No. Secy

Enclosure No. Nil.

Government Proceedings
No. H 3089-90 / RF 213-92 dated 19th November 1904, requesting to explain why the report on the inspection of the Chennarayapatna Taluk Treasury stated to have been inspected on the 14th April 1903 was not sent to the Controller

---

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the Treasury inspection report was submitted

Submitted to the Comptroller

with this Office No 1576 dated

24th April 1903.

J. Armanoxmeant

for Offg Deputy Commissioner

Hazara District.

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 213 992-3

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 2007

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Genl. Fin.)

READ.—

G. O. No. F 3089-90 / R.F. 213-92 of the 19th November 1904 forwarding the Explanation submitted by the Comptroller for the omission of Kranjarabad, Chennarayapatna and Arkalgud Taluk Treasuries in the list of the Treasuries inspected during the year 1902-03 and requesting the Dy. Comr. of the Hassan District to Explain why the report on the inspection of

, the 9 December 1904

Date of issue do

Signed by W. A. M. Northcote

ORDER THEREON.—No.

over

SUBJECT

the Meerut Cantt. Treasury
stated have been made on the
14th April 1903 was not sent to
the Comptroller.

In Docket No. 540 of the 25th Nov.
1904 from the Dy. Comr. the Hazara
District reporting that the inspection
report above referred to was sub-
-mitted to the Comptroller with his
No. 1576 of the 24th April 1903.

Order. No H 3542-3
R 7.213-92)

Recorded with a request that
the Comptroller will ~~report whether~~ the
report referred to ~~has~~ as
~~been~~ received in his office

Peerlee 6/12-1905

3/12/05

Mr. Simpson

The Comptroller & the Pol. A.
H. H. the Commander in Chief

Dy. Commissioner
Hazara Dist.

(11) II Omer 27/12

A. 2588

1904.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur Dist

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl & Revenue Dept

Dated

Despatched 24th December

Received.

General No. 333
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that
no programme had
been made in 1902-03 regarding
the Inspection of Taluk
Treasuries & that they
were inspected as noted
in the Comptroller's State
ment and also that a
programme has been
laid down for the
current year.

Deputy Commissioner's Office, Kadur District

Chikmagalur 22nd December 1904.

From M. Narain Rao Esqure
Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur District

To B. K. Venkatavaradaiyanger Esqr.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.
General & Revenue Department

Sir,

Adverting to Government proceedings no Fl 3128-36 / R7-213-92-3 dated 19th January 1904, calling for explanation in a matter of the Inspection of Taluk treasuries in this District during the year 1902-03, I have the honor to state that no programme appears to have been made at the beginning of the year in question and that inspections as noted in the Comptroller's statement printed with the orders quoted above were made after I assumed charge of the District in December 1902.

A programme for the current year has been laid down and the Government orders on the subject will be carried out.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant

M Nylan Rao
For Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur Dist.

D. 2589

11
Direct 17/12

FROM
The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated 15th } December 1905
Despatched 22nd }
Received
General No. 323
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Reporting that arrangements for the half yearly inspection of the Treasuries in the District have been made and forwarding the programme drawn up for the purpose

No 323/Rec 1

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug 15/22 December 1904

From

H. Ramanujaiengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

B. K Venkatavaradaiengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to Government Proceedings No H 3128-36/R7 213-92 dated 17th May 1904 regarding the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries, I have the honor to state that a programme (a copy herein enclosed) has been arranged for the inspection of all Taluk Treasuries in the District twice during the current Official year, the 1st half yearly inspection of all Treasuries being conducted by the Officer in charge of the District Treasury by the end of December 1904 and the 2nd half yearly inspection by the District Jamabandi Officer by the end of June 1905.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant

for Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

Programme for the Inspection of the Taluk Treasuries in the District for the year 1904-05

I The Treasury Officer, Chitaldrug District, will inspect in detail all the Taluk Treasuries in the District for the 1st half year ending 31st December 1904.

II The District Jamabandi Officers will inspect in detail all Taluk Treasuries in the District for the 2nd half-year ending 30th June 1905

for The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

I Dec 14/1/05 D. 2832/14

G. 190 5 (13)

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Nilgiri Distrct.

To B. K. Venkatavardaiyar
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Dept

Dated 12th January 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 548
No. 374.

Enclosure No.

Referring to Government
Order No 3128-36 R.F. 213
of 92-93 dated 17th January
last, states that the Assist
Commissioner doing Jamabandi
work will inspect the Taluk Tre
and the Treasury officer will
inspect the Treasuries towa
close of the Official year

No. 548
374

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District.
Dated 12th January 1905

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To, B. K. Venkatavardaiengar Esquire
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

Referring to Government order No 3128-36 R.T 213 of 92-93 dated 17th May last regarding Inspection of Taluk Treasuries, I have the honour to state that it was arranged to have all Taluk Treasuries inspected by District Treasury Officer once in July and August last. He did the work of one Taluk but unfortunately he was prevented from inspecting the other Treasuries. Myself and the Assistant Commissioner doing Jamabandi will inspect the Taluk Treasuries in detail when doing that work and another inspection by the Treasury Officer will be made towards the close of the official year. The Assistant Commissioner have been also apprised

apprised of the importance of the work
and of the necessity of verifying cash
balances as often as possible.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
M. McMaguth
Deputy Commissioner,
Sheriffs District.

J. Ford

11 mm 8/8/05 D. 3650 F. 5015

1904/05

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy: to Govt: Revd & Forest Deptts Bangalore

Dated 6th March 1905

Despatched 7th

Received

General No.

S. No. 722

Enclosure No. One

Govt: Pros: No: $\frac{FL\ 3128-36}{R.F.\ 213-92-3}$ of the 17th May 1904.

Forwarding copy of explanation given by Mr. Ahamed Ali states that all the other officers who were then in the District have since been trans

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 6th March 1905.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

B.K. Venkatavaradajengar Esq:
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. F. 3128-36 / R.F. 213-92-3 dated the 17th May 1904, calling for explanation as to why standing orders were not attended to in the matter of inspecting Taluk Treasuries during 1902-03, I have the honor to state that except Mr. Ahmed Ali, all the other officers who were then in the District have since been transferred elsewhere. Copy of explanation given by Mr. Ahmed Ali is submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Ahmed Ali.
for Dy Commissioner

MM.

Docket No. 876 of the 22nd/23 K

from Mr. Ahmed Ali, Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore District. to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

His No. R 1546 dated the 7/9th January 1905.

Has the honor to state that the undersigned was in charge of the District Treasury in the year 1902-03 only for 4 or 5 months during that period he was engaged in the additional work of settlement of compensation on the lands taken up for the improvement of the City of Bangalore as per orders of the then Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Sparkes. Besides this the standing order of Government No. 8547-55 dated 11th December 1892 R.T. 213-92. does not expressly state that the Sub Treasury should be inspected by the Treasury Assistant Commissioners. The Comptroller's circular No. 2040 dated 20th February 1904, stating that the Taluk Treasuries should be inspected by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner

Commissioner once in a year was received in March 1904. As the under-signed was in charge of the Dod-ballapur Division in addition to the Treasury work from 29th February to 30th April 1904 and as he was engaged in the preparation of reports and other duties of Jamalabadi in addition to the Treasury work from May to June 1904, he could not carry on the inspection of Taluk Treasuries till July 1904. He inspected six Sub Treasuries from July to November but he was transferred to Dodballapur Division before he could finish the inspection of the remaining three Treasuries.

When he was in charge of the Closepet Division he inspected the Kankanhalli Taluk Treasury in detail once in connection with the loss of some postage stamps, but as he had no printed form of report on hand at that time, he asked the Amildar to submit a statement containing certain particulars to enable him to fill up the printed form of report at Head Quarters, but he was transferred from there before sub-mitting the above report to the

the Deputy Commissioner

"Copy

D R Sewana

Dy Commissioner

G.R.S. 28]

7 D No 308

Dr/poids

R. File No. 2130/72

Serial No. 15

Diary No. of letter replied to 762, 863, 1682, 2588, 2589, 2834 & 3656

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(~~Finance~~)
G.R.-Fin.

, the 9 June 1905

Date of issue do

Signed by W Satyanarayana (G.A.)

READ.—

G. O. No. F 3128-36 & R. 7213-92-3 of the 17th May 1904 reviewing the statement submitted by the Comptroller showing the number of times the Taluk Treasuries in the State were inspected by the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners during the year 1902-03; ~~Observing that the Deputy Commissioners on the Subject have not been strictly adhered to~~ and calling for the explanation of the Dy. Commrs. ~~in the matter~~ as why the standing orders had not been adhered to by them

SUBJECT

2 Letter No. 475/100 of the 19th August 1904
from the Dy. Comr. of the Kolar District
stating that a proformane was drawn
up in accordance with Govt. Order No. 8572-90
R.T. 313-92
of the 18th June 1895, by which the Treasury
Assistant Comr. was required to inspect
all the Taluk Treasuries in the District
in the months of September and October
and the ~~work of inspection has~~ Inspections were also inspected for the same time during the year done by
the Jamabandi Officers during the
Jamabandi of the Taluks entrusted
to them and that the Treasury Asst.
Comr. Sri Devappa inspected all the
Taluk Treasuries with the Exception of those of the
Chikballapur, Sidlaghatta and
Gauribidanur Taluks which ~~could~~ he was not
be inspected on account of ~~his~~ ill health.

3 Letter No. 145 of the 25th August 1904
from the Dy. Comr. of the Tumkur Dist.
stating that no arrangement seems to
have been made in the District for the
inspection of the Taluk Treasuries twice
a year in detail, ~~and~~ that he has arranged
for the first detailed inspection ~~of the~~

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

~~year~~ to take place this year in the month of Septr and the second later on during the Jamboni and that ~~such~~ necessary steps have been ordered for a more frequent ~~inspection~~ examination of the Cash Balance -

4. Letter No. 829 of the 29th October 1904 from the Dy. Commissioner of the Angul District forwarding copy of docket No. 218 of the 15 October 1904 from the Treasury Officer stating that for want of relief of the District Treasury work, he was unable to inspect the Talchi Treasuries in 1902-03 and also a copy of Circular No. 155 of the 17th Septr. 1904 to the Treasury Officer and all the Assistant Commissioners in charge of Subdivs fixing the programme for the inspection of treasuries during the current year -

5. Letter No. 333 of the 22nd Decr. 1904 from the Dy. Comr. of the Kadar District stating that no programme appears to have been made at the beginning of the year in question that inspections as noted in the footnote

Statement printed with the above orders were issued after he assumed charge of the District in Dec. 1902 and that a program for the current year has been laid down.

6. Letter No. 325 of the 15th Dec. 1904 from the Dy. Comr. of the Chittagong District stating that a program has been arranged for the inspection of all the Tahsil Treasuries in the District twice during the current official year, the first half-yearly inspection of all Treasuries being conducted by the officer in charge of the District Treasury by the end of December 1904 and the second half-yearly inspection by the District Jamabandi officers by the end of June 1905.

7. Letter No. 548/3/4 of the 13th January 1905 from the Dy. Comr. of the Khamja Distt. stating that it was arranged to have all the Tahsil Treasuries inspected once by the District Treasury Officer ~~once~~ in July and August ~~Sept~~ 1904, that he ~~did so with~~ inspected one Tahsil but ~~unfortunately~~ he was prevented from inspecting the other Treasuries, that he and the Assistant Commissioner doing Jamabandi will inspect the Tahsil Treasuries in detail

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

when ~~doing that work~~ conducting it and that another inspection by the Treasury officer will be made towards the close of the official year.

8. Letter No. 722 of the 5th March 1905 from the Dy. Comr. of the Bangalore District stating that except Mr. Ahmed Ali, all the other officers who were then in the District have since been transferred elsewhere and forwarding copy of Explanation given by Mr. Ahmed Ali stating that during the 4 or 5 months he was in charge of the District Treasury in the year 1902-03 he was engaged in the additional work of settlement of compensation for the lands taken up for the improvement of the City of Bangalore ~~in~~ which prevented his inspecting the Taluk treasuries.

Order:- Minute No. C 8354-62 / R 9-213-92 dt 9th June 1905

Govt. note with dissatisfaction that the Dy. Commissioners, Treasury Departt. are not quite alive to the necessity and importance of ~~carrying out~~ giving effect to the instructions laid down

for the periodical inspection of Sub-Treasuries to their very letter, and the explanation furnished for the omission far from being satisfactory merely discloses the fact that in the majority of cases apparently no steps were taken in carrying out ~~the spirit & effect of~~ these instructions. These should be strictly attended to in future.

Separate + notes have been passed on the explanation submitted by the Dy. Commr of the Hazaribagh Dt. in this matter.

+ H 3542-3 / R.F. 215-92 dt 17-12-94

The Deputy Commissioner should bear in mind that many of the defects and irregularities brought to time to time by the Comptroller in regard to the working of the District Treasuries can be removed only by exercising efficient control over the sub-treasuries; and it is therefore necessary that the matter should receive greater attention and the officers should evince greater interest for securing such efficiency.

6.6.1905

Secretary

5-6-05

The Comptroller.

" Dy. Commissioners of Districts.

Typed on 8/6/05

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

READ—

Government Order No. Fl. 3128-36—R. F. 213-92-3, dated the 17th May 1904, reviewing the statement submitted by the Comptroller showing the number of times the Taluk Treasuries in the State were inspected by the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners during the year 1902-1903; and calling for the explanation of the Deputy Commissioners as to why the standing orders had not been attended to strictly and completely in any of the districts.

2. Letter No. 1175—106 of the 19th August 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, stating that a programme was drawn up in accordance with Government Order No. 8582-90—R. F. 213-92 of the 1st June 1900, by which the Treasury Assistant Commissioner was required to inspect all the Taluk Treasuries in the District in the months of September and October and the Treasuries were to be inspected for the second time during the year by the *Jamabandi* officers during the *Jamabandi* of the taluks entrusted to them, and that the Treasury Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Dasappa, inspected all the Taluk Treasuries with the exception of those of the Chikballapur, Sidlaghatta and Bagepalli Taluks, which he was not able to inspect on account of ill-health.

3. Letter No. 145 of the 26th August 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, stating that no arrangement seems to have been made in the district for the inspection of the Taluk Treasuries twice a year in detail, that he has arranged for the first detailed inspection taking place this year in the month of September and the second later on during the *Jamabandi* and that necessary orders have been issued for a more frequent examination of the cash balance.

4. Letter No. 329 of the 29th October 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, forwarding copy of docket No. 218 of the 15th October 1904, from the Treasury Officer, stating that for want of relief of the District Treasury work, he was unable to inspect the Taluk Treasuries in 1902-03, and also a copy of Circular No. 156 of the 17th September 1904, to the Treasury Officer and all the Assistant Commissioners in charge of taluks, fixing the programme for the inspection of Treasuries during the current year.

5. Letter No. 333 of the 22nd December 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, stating that no programme appears to have been made at the beginning of the year in question, that inspections as noted in the Comptroller's statement printed with the above Order were made after he assumed charge of the District in December 1902, and that a programme for the current year has been laid down.

6. Letter No. 323 of the 15th December 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldurg District, stating that a programme has been arranged for the inspection of all the Taluk Treasuries in the district twice during the current official year, the first half-yearly inspection of all Treasuries being conducted by the Officer in charge of the District Treasury by the end of December 1904, and the second half-yearly inspection, by the District *Jamabandi* officers by the end of June 1905.

7. Letter No. 548—374 of the 12th January 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District, stating that it was arranged to have all the Taluk Treasuries inspected once by the District Treasury Officer in July and August 1904, that he inspected one Taluk Treasury, but was prevented from inspecting the other Treasuries, that he and the Assistant Commissioners doing *Jamabandi* will inspect the Taluk Treasuries in detail when conducting it, and that another inspection by the Treasury Officer will be made towards the close of the official year.

8. Letter No. 722 of the 6th March 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, stating that except Mr. Ahmed Ali, all the other officers who were then in the District have since been transferred elsewhere, and forwarding copy of explanation given by Mr. Ahmed Ali, stating that during the four or five months he was in charge of the District Treasury in the year 1902-03, he was engaged in the additional work of settlement of compensation for the lands taken up for the improvement of the City of Bangalore, which precluded his inspecting the Taluk Treasuries.

No. Fl. 8354-62—R. F. 213-92, dated Bangalore, 9th June 1905.

ORDER THEREON.—Government note with dissatisfaction that the Deputy Commissioners, Treasury Department, are not quite alive to the necessity and importance of

giving effect to the instructions laid down in Government Order No. 8547-55—R. F. 213-92 of the 11th December 1892, for the periodical inspection of the Taluk Treasuries to their very letter, and the explanation furnished for the omission, far from being satisfactory merely discloses the fact that in the majority of cases apparently no steps were taken for carrying out these instructions. These should be strictly attended to in future.

2. Separate orders * have been passed on the explanation submitted by the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District in this matter. The Deputy Commissioners should bear in mind that many of the defects and irregularities brought to notice from time to time by the Comptroller in regard to the working of the District Treasuries can be removed only by exercising efficient control over the Sub-Treasuries; and it is therefore very necessary that the matter should receive greater attention, and the Deputy Commissioners should evince greater interest for securing such efficiency.

* Fl. 3542-3—R. F. 213-92, dated 9th December 1904.

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. V.